

Comments and recommendations made by Community Scrutiny Committee at its meeting on 24 February 2024

Date considered by Cabinet: 28 April 2026

	Comments/recommendations from scrutiny	Advice provided by officers at the Scrutiny meeting	Cabinet response
C1	Data set and methodology: Concerns were raised about the methodology used to create the strategies and the data set, including paddle sport and drive time to sports facilities assumptions. The validity of the data set was questioned.	Officers confirmed that the methodology used was a standard which is set by Sport England with input from the various national governing bodies of sport. Therefore, it was in their opinion reliable, and assurances were made on its validity. The risks of deviating from standard methodology were explained including the impact on planning obligations	
C2	Environmental impact of 3G pitches: Concerns were made in relation to the impact on the environment due to the use of 3G pitches.	Officers confirmed that sustainability would be considered at the project delivery stage.	
C3	Community facilities: Some Members advocated for clearer timelines, feasibility studies and tighter definitions for community facilities.	Officers clarified that these were actions for the next stage in the process but that the strategy was one not just for the Council to deliver but a range of partners and stakeholders.	
C4	Changing room facilities: Concerns were raised regarding the lack of consideration for changing rooms and ancillary facilities.	Officers clarified that these were separate matters that were included in the Local Football Facility Plan for the district which is led by Leicestershire and Rutland Football Association.	

R1	Recommendation: That Cabinet considers the feasibility studies and funding for the projects identified in the strategies during the life of this authority before Local Government Reorganisation.	-	
-----------	--	---	--